

फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(84)130/Ahd-South/2018-19 Stay Appl.No. /2018-19

अपील आदेश रांख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-102-2018-19 दिनॉक Date : 20-11-2018 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue

श्री उमा शंकर आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित

Passed by Shri. Uma Shanker, Commissioner (Appeals)

Arising out of Order-in-Original No**. Recovery matter** दिनाँक**: 4.10.2018 i**ssued by Assistant Commissioner, Div-V, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South

्राफोलकर्त्ता का नाम एवं पत्ता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent Jee Pumps Pvt.ltd

Ahmedabad

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपीन या पुनर्शक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person a aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत अस्तवर का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन

(4)

Revision application to Government of India :

(1) कोन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियग, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के डांतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आयेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली मजरुवा को की जानी वाहिए।

(a) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डामार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डामार से दूसरे अध्यागल में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डामार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डामार में हो माल की प्रक्रिया के जोगन हुई हो।

(ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

(b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

गनि भुल्क का भुगतान किए विना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।



(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया गाल हो।
- (c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एव नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

- (d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो--दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्थ के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के मुमतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर--6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/- फीस गुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस गुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपीलः– Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गतः-

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

- (क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद २ (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीम उत्पाद<u>न शुल्क</u> एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण <u>(सिस्टेट)</u> की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीढिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ–20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद–380016
- (a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.



The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6^{*} of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

यति इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त दंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय -सायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(1) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या गूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क िकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Oustoms, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण <u>(सिस्टेट)</u>, के प्रति अपीलो के मामले में करोटय मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकलम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड रुपए है ।(Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

🐡 यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है .

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

हम इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के

10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on particulation 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where a penalty alone is in dispute."

*

3)

(5)

(6)

ORDER-IN-APPEAL

This appeal has been filed by M/s Jee Pumps (Guj) Pvt Ltd, Block No.407-B & 408-B, Kubadthal-Pasunj Road, Vill-Kubadthal, Daskroi Taluka, Ahmedabad [hereinafter referred to as "the appellant"] against letter dated 04.10.2018, issued by the Assistant Commissioner, Division-V, CST, Ahmedabad South [for short-department].

2. Facts of the case is that the department has issued a letter dated 04.10.2018 to the Branch Manager of State Bank of India, Odhav, Ahmedabad regarding recovery of Government dues pending against the appellant and in the said letter, the department has directed the Bank to freeze all accounts pertaining to the appellant.

3. Being aggrieved with the letter dated 04.10.2018 of the department, the appellant has filed the instant appeal on the grounds that the action of freezing all bank accounts has created serious business consequences and they are unable to carry on normal business or fulfill any of its business commitments to the raw materials, pay to their employees etc; that the outstanding dues are against interest liability and penalty imposed; that they requested to the department to take lenient view against penalty.

4. Personal hearing in the matter was held on 25.10.2018. Shri P.P.Jadeja, Authorized representative along with Maganbhai Patel and Druvesh Patel, Directors of the appellant appeared for the same. Shri K.K.Sharma, Superintendent also appeared on behalf of the department. The Authorized Representative reiterated the grounds of appeal and explained the case in detail. He further submitted that penalty has been imposed without any Show cause notice or without granting personal hearing. He further submitted that the duty involved has, already been paid and the appellant is ready to pay interest also but the penalty imposed and being demanded is against settled judicial decisions. Shri K.K.Sharma, Superintendent showed me a letter dated 22.10.2018 for recovery of Rs.12,91,435/- towards interest and penalty through Demand Draft. Bank has issued demand draft for the said amount and defrecze the account but not informed to the appellant. Both the department and appellant were directed to make further fresh submissions. The appellant has submitted their further submissions vide letter dated 20.10.2018 and the department has furnished their reply on 29.10.2018.

5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case and submissions made by the appellant in the appeal, during the course of personal hearing and written submission dated 29.10.2018.

6. At the outset, I observe that the whole issues arose due to the letter dated 04.10.2018, issued by the department to the Bank for freezing all the bank accounts of the appellant. In this regard, I find that vide written submission dated 29.10.2018, the appellant has submitted that in view of Government dues amounting to Rs.12,91,435/- recovered from the appellant's account, the department has issued letter dated 22.10.2018 to the bank authority to unfreeze the appellant's account, and accordingly, the bank authority has unfreeze the appellant's account. In the circumstances, the appellant has further stated in the stated in the result.



unfreeze their bank account before the appellate authority, vide the instant appeal do not survive at this stage and they do not desire order thereon now. The department has also submitted that at present the appellant's bank accounts are free for their operation. In the circumstances, I find that the issue challenged against the letter dated 04.10.2018 is no more in existence.

Further, the appellant has submitted in their written submission to set aside the penalty or 7. modify under Rule 27 of Central Excise Rules, 2002. Since the only issue involved in the letter dated 04.10.2018, against which the appellant filed the instant appeal, is regarding freezing of their account and penalty imposed is not an issue before me in the instant appeal, I do not find any merit to consider the argument of imposition of penalty.

In view of discussion at para 6 above, I dismissed the appeal filed by the appellant. The 8 appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

Attested

(Mohanan V.V) Superintendent (Appeal), Central Tax.Ahmedabad.

<u>By RPAD</u>

To,

M/s Jee Pumps (Guj) Pvt Ltd, Block No.407-B & 408-B, Kubadthal-Pasunj Road, Vill-Kubadthal, Daskroi Taluka, Ahmedabad

Copy to:-

1. The Chief Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.

2. The Principal Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South.

3. The Assistant Commissioner, System, Central Tax, Ahmedabad South.

The Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Div-II, Ahmedabad South

Guard File.

6. P.A.

 उमा शंकर) आयुक्त (अपील्स) Date : .11 .2018 त्वं सेवाकर

2 nigin

.

